

8 APRIL 2020

PROJECT 4MB: RFQ - NOTICE OF CONSOLIDATED APPLICANT ISSUES

Introduction

- We refer to the Request for Qualification in relation to the 4th Mainland Bridge (4MB) Project sent to Applicants on 10th February 2020 (the RfQ). In furtherance of the RfQ, the Contracting Authority invited Applicants to submit in writing, issues for clarification, questions or concerns regarding the RfQ (the Applicant Issues) no later than Tuesday 24th March 2020.
- 2. The Contracting Authority received numerous queries from the Applicants. In furtherance of the provisions of Clause 11 of the RfQ, all Applicant Issues received by the Contracting Authority as of 24th March 2020, and responded to as of [•] April 2020, are set out below for the information of the Applicants.
- 3. Notice is hereby given to all Applicants that the Applicant Issues received by the Contracting Authority have been consolidated for information purposes and grouped into five (5) major categories for ease of reference of all Applicants, and in no particular order, preference or importance whatsoever. In addition, the Applicant Issues below have been consolidated without reference to the identity of any Applicant or Consortium, and where necessary to protect the identity or confidentiality of any Applicant or Consortium, the relevant Applicant Issues have been paraphrased accordingly.
- 4. The five (5) categories of subject-matter headings into which the Applicant Issues have been grouped are as follows:
 - 4.1. RFQ Clarifications/Project Timelines;
 - 4.2. Road and Bridge Design;
 - 4.3. Studies and Surveys;
 - 4.4. Concession and Financing; and



- 4.5. Estimated Project Cost/General.
- 5. Capitalised terms used in the Table shall have the same meaning as in the RfQ unless the context otherwise indicates.

S/N	QUERY/ CLARIFICATION	CONTRACTING AUTHORITY'S RESPONSE
	RFQ CLARIFICATIONS	/PROJECT TIMELINES
1.	What is the planned time schedule for the development of the Project,	Following receipt of the RfQ submissions on 15th April and the subsequent
	including RFQ, RFP, award of the project, commercial agreements	Evaluation of the RfQs, the RfP process shall be launched in Q3/Q4 2020.
	negotiation, commencement of the project, etc.?	Bids will be assessed and the Award of the project made in $Q2/Q3$ 2021.
2.	Please clarify that what is the specific amount of payments	No amount was specified for categories 3 and 4 projects. However, for the
	made/received for Categories 3 and 4. In the footnote of "Appendix II,	purposes of fully satisfying one of the 'Threshold Technical Capacity'
	Annex II, TECHNICAL CAPACITY OF THE APPLICANT, for Categories 3	requirements, half of the Threshold Technical Capacity must be from eligible
	and 4 shall only include those projects where the payments	projects in either Category 1 or Category 3. We would therefore accept the
	made/received exceed the amount specified in Clause 22.4". However,	amount of US\$500Million for Category 3 and 4 projects.
	in Clause 22.4, no specific amount is identified.	
3.	Clause 6.2.3 and Clause 6.2.4 requires the Applicant shall have O&M	The O&M partner is required to experience of 5 (five) years or more in
	experience and Tolling experience, but no specific annex or tables ask	Operation and Maintenance (O&M) of Category 1 projects specified in Clause
	the Applicant to fill in any figures or description about their experience.	22.1, which have an aggregate capital cost equal to the Estimated Project
	Please clarify how the Applicant prove their eligibility in O&M and	Cost and with an annual operating cost of over US\$10M per year over 5years.
	Tolling services, and any documents the Applicant shall provide as the	The Tolling Company in the consortium needs to have Tolling experience of
	prove.	5 (five) years or more in tolling of Category 1 projects specified in Clause



S/N	QUERY/ CLARIFICATION	CONTRACTING AUTHORITY'S RESPONSE
	RFQ CLARIFICATIONS	/Project Timelines
		22.1, which have an aggregate capital cost equal to the Estimated Project
		Cost
4.	Please clarify if the Applicant has executed a construction contract of	Clause 22.3 (c) states that for a project to qualify as an Eligible Project under
	the Eligible Project as defined in Categories 1 and 2, but the total	categories 1 and 2, the capital cost of the project should be more than
	payments of the construction contract are less than 1 billion USD. Is	US\$1Billion. We are pleased to advise that the requisite value for Category 1
	this construction experience qualified according to Categories 3 or 4 in	and 2 projects has now been revised downwards to US\$500Million.
	Clause 22.3?	
5.	In Appendix II, Annex I, Details of Applicants, clause 6 requires " 6. A	If the Subsidiaries of an Applicant is controlled by, or is under the common
	statement by the Applicant and each of the Members of its Consortium	control of such an Applicant and satisfies the definition of control as defined
	(where applicable) or any of their Associates disclosing material non-	under who an Associate is in the Glossary section of the RFQ, then
	performance or contractual non-compliance in past projects".	information about contractual disputes, litigation, or arbitration of such a
		subsidiary constitutes information that must be disclosed by an Applicant.
	Please clarify if the Applicants should disclose that information, if its	
	subsidiaries have contractual disputes or litigation arbitration in the	
	recent past.	
6.	Please clarify whether the bidder is allowed to use the Letter of Award	A letter of Award or Taking over certificate will not satisfy the requirements
	and Taking-over certificate as the supporting document for the past	of the Contracting Authority. A Project Completion Certificate issued by the
	experience instead, as this is a more common method during bidding	Lenders Technical Adviser for Category 1 & 2 projects or Owner's Engineer
	process;	Completion Certificate for the purposes of Category 3 & 4 project will be the



S/N	QUERY/ CLARIFICATION	CONTRACTING AUTHORITY'S RESPONSE	
	RFQ CLARIFICATIONS/PROJECT TIMELINES		
		relevant supporting documents to be considered for the reference purpose in	
		the RfQ.	
7.	Please clarify whether the limit for the technical experience is past 5	We are pleased to clarify that for the purposes of demonstrating technical	
	years or 10 years? Also, please clarify, in the form APPENDIX-II,	capacity and experience (the "Technical Capacity") for the purposes of the	
	ANNEX-II, payment received for construction of Eligible Projects in	eligible projects under Clause 6.2.1.1, 6.2.1.2 and 6.2.1.3, the period under	
	Categories 3 and 4 and Payment made for development for Categories	consideration shall be over the past 10 (ten) financial years preceding the	
	1 and 2 mean the payment received or made with the past 5 years or	Application Deadline.	
	the total payment.		
8.	Please clarify the payment made for development means the total	We believe Clauses 6.2.1.1 and 6.2.1.2 of the RfQ are self explanatory.	
	project investment or only the payment made by the applicant.		
9.	Please clarify whether there is a limitation for the quantity of the past	There is no limit on the number of projects to be submitted insofar as Clause	
	project the applicant can submit?	6.21 of the RfQ is satisfied.	
10.	Please clarify whether the capital expenditure include the loan from	For the purposes of satisfying Clause 6.2.1.2 of the RfQ, the share capital of	
	bank or not. and whether the capital expenditure include the share	US\$7.5bn made by your firm satisfies this requirement, insofar as the	
	capital made by other shareholders.	projects are Eligible Projects under Category 1 and 2 projects specified in	
		Clause 22.1 of the RfQ.	
11.	Clarification required on page 12 item 6.2.2 in relation to the combined	For the purposes of satisfying Clause 6.2.2 (Financial Capacity) of the RfQ,	
	technical capacity and net worth of Members in the case of a	an Applicant or a consortium (in that case its members) whose experiences	
	consortium.		



S/N	QUERY/ CLARIFICATION	CONTRACTING AUTHORITY'S RESPONSE
	RFQ CLARIFICATIONS	PROJECT TIMELINES
		shall be considered for the purposes of satisfying the requirements of Clause
		6.2.1, shall:
		i) have a 'minimum' Net worth of US\$500M in the case of an Applicant or
		Consortium at the close of the preceding Financial Year;
		ii) have at least an equity share of at least 20% (twenty percent) each for
		members of a consortium in the special purpose vehicle; save for the O&M
		and Tolling partners (in a consortium), who are required to have a minimum
		of 10% shareholding each in the SPV.
		We envisage a 70/30 Debt to Equity ratio for a transaction of this nature in
		this market.
12.	Bid Security Deposit: if an applicant is unsuccessful in progressing to	The Bid Security deposited which equivalent to about 0.1% of the estimated
	the next stage, is the bid security deposit	project cost shall be refunded if an Applicant were unsuccessful at the RfP
		stage.
13.	For the "ELIGIBLE PROJECTS" in Category 3 and Category 4, whether	Category 3 & 4 project criteria are applicable to an Applicant who has paid
	it must be a PPP project and with a project capital cost not less than	for Construction works or a Construction Company who has received payment
	US1Billion as stipulated in ITEM 22.3? or we can use EPC/DB/ other	for executing Construction works. As is evident under Clause 22.4 of the RfQ,
	normal model projects as the experience.	these projects are not PPP projects so EPC/DB or other model projects that
		meet the definition of Category 3 and 4 eligible shall projects suffice and be
		considered for the purposes of the referenced requirement in the RfQ.



S/N	QUERY/ CLARIFICATION	Contracting Authority's Response
	RFQ CLARIFICATIONS	/PROJECT TIMELINES
		Clause 22.3 (c) states that for a project to qualify as an Eligible Project under
		categories 1 and 2, the capital cost of the project should be more than
		US\$1Billion. We are pleased to advise that this amount for Category 1 and 2
		projects has now been revised down to US\$500Million. It is accepted that the
		above criteria shall also extend to Category 3 and 4 projects.
14.	Clause 22.7.3 provides that the Applicant should furnish the required	There is a typographical error in the referenced Annexure of Clause 22.7.3 of
	project-specific information and evidence in support of its claim of	the RfQ, and as such it should read: "The Applicant should furnish the
	Technical Capacity, as per format in Appendix II, Annex II. In respect	required project-specific information and evidence in support of its claim of
	of the above mentioned "evidence", what documents should be	Technical Capacity, as per format in Appendix II, Annex IV".
	prepared, do you have any prescribed lists?	
15.	To allow for the participation of funds, proposed that the Contracting	The definition of Associate under the Glossary section of the RfQ suffices.
	Authority (CA) consider the amending the definition of Associate.	Clause 6.2.1, 6.2.2, 6.2.3 and 6.2.4 is sufficiently robust and provides for
		Funds who can meet the Technical and Financial eligibility requirements.
16.	The Contracting Authority (CA) please confirm if the period to be	We are pleased to clarify that for the purposes of demonstrating technical
	considered for the project experience is five (5) years or ten (10) years	capacity and experience (the "Technical Capacity") for the purposes of the
	preceding the Application Deadline;	eligible projects under Clause 6.2.1.1, 6.2.1.2 and 6.2.1.3 of the RfQ, the
		period under consideration shall be over the past 10 (ten) financial years
		preceding the Application Deadline.



S/N	QUERY/ CLARIFICATION	CONTRACTING AUTHORITY'S RESPONSE
	RFQ CLARIFICATIONS	/PROJECT TIMELINES
17.	Given the approval processes required by many organizations for a	The purpose of this provision in the RfQ at this stage is to ensure that only
	project of this nature, it will be difficult for Consortium Members to	Applicants who have carefully considered the requirements and are ready to
	commit to specific equity amounts at this stage. We propose that the	make a certain level of commitment, have their submissions evaluated for
	Contracting Authority considers deferring the requirement to commit	the purposes of the RfP stage. We have carefully considered the transaction
	to subscribed equity share to a later stage of the bidding phase;	structure for a project with an estimated capital expenditure cost of
		US2.2billion and we believe that it must be a pre-requisite for members of a
		consortium to indicate their commitment to having a minimum equity share
		in the SPV, at this stage.
18.	Contracting Authority to confirm understanding that in the case of a	We confirm that the understanding of the Applicant is correct.
	Consortium, the only experience which can be relied upon for Eligible	
	Projects should be from Members who individually shall, for a period	
	of 2 (two) years from the date of commercial operation of the Project,	
	hold equity share capital not less than: (i) 20% (twenty percent) of	
	the subscribed and paid up equity of the SPV; and (ii) 6% (six per	
	cent) of the Total Project Cost specified in the Concession?	
19.	Contracting Authority (CA) to confirm which of the scenarios relating	For the purposes of satisfying Clause 6.2.2 of the RfQ (Financial Capacity),
	to the requirement for the Applicant to have by itself, or in the case of	an Applicant or a consortium (in that case its members) whose collective
	a Consortium, include a Member who shall subscribe and continue to	experiences shall be considered for the purposes of satisfying the
	hold at least 10% (ten per cent) of the subscribed and paid up equity	requirements of Clause 6.2.1, shall:



S/N	QUERY/ CLARIFICATION	CONTRACTING AUTHORITY'S RESPONSE
	RFQ CLARIFICATIONS	/Project Timelines
	of the SPV applies to each of the tolling experience and O&M	i) have a 'minimum' Net worth of US\$500M in the case of an Applicant or
	experience applies;	Consortium at the close of the preceding Financial Year; and
		ii) have at least an equity share of at least 20% (twenty percent) each for
		members of a Consortium in the special purpose vehicle to be established by
		the Consortium for implementing the Project save for the O&M and Tolling
		partners (in a Consortium), who are required to have a minimum of 10%
		shareholding each in the SPV.
20.	We propose that the Contracting Authority (CA) considers including a	Clause 22.3 (c) of the RfQ states that for a project to qualify as an Eligible
	threshold project amount for Category 3 and Category 4 projects;	Project under categories 1 and 2, the capital cost of the project should be
		more than US\$1Billion. We are pleased to advise that this amount for
		Category 1 and 2 projects has now been revised downward to US\$500Million.
		It is accepted that the above criteria extend to Category 3 and 4 too.
21.	Contracting Authority (CA) please confirm understanding that	Category 3 & 4 project criteria are applicable to an Applicant who has paid
	Category 3 and Category 4 projects are not PPP projects as Category	for Construction works or a Construction Company who has received payment
	3 and 4 projects have not been further qualified as is the case for	for executing Construction works. As is evident under Clause 22.4 of the RfQ,
	Category 1 and 2 (Clause 22.3).	these projects are not PPP projects so EPC/DB or other model projects that
		meet the definition of Category 3 and 4 eligible projects shall suffice.



S/N	QUERY/ CLARIFICATION	Contracting Authority's Response
	RFQ CLARIFICATION	S/PROJECT TIMELINES
22.	The reserves not available for distribution is subject to tax regimes	We note that reserves not available for distribution are generally subject to
	which varies in different jurisdictions. Propose that the CA considers a	tax regimes in different jurisdictions and shall take this into consideration in
	more different approach which we have seen on other international	the application of Clause 6.2.5 (ii) of RfQ.
	tenders.	
23.	Based on experience, obtaining certificates from a statutory auditor	In jurisdictions where the Statutory Auditor has certified the IFRS compliant
	(who in most cases have already certified the audited financial	Audited Annual Reports of the Applicant (of each Member in case of a
	statement of the firm) and requesting that the auditor should confirm	Consortium) for the last 5 (five) financial years, preceding the year in which the
	a particular methodology could take several months. The Contracting	Application is made, such a certification would suffice for the purposes of the
	Authority (CA) to consider a different approach including the values	referenced requirement in the RfQ.
	confirmed from the audited financial statement.	
		In other jurisdictions, obtaining certificates from a statutory auditor will still
		be required.
24.	Due to the size of the transaction, could the Contracting Authority	For the purposes of Clause 6.2.5(iii)(a), we had carefully considered the
	consider increasing the limit on the number of Members allowed in the	possible Transaction structure and have taken this into consideration in
	Consortium?	limiting the number of members per consortium.
25.	Given the approval processes required by many organizations for a	This question has not been considered in view of paragraph 4 of the Request for
	project of this nature, it might be difficult for Consortium Members to	Applicant Issues which provides that Applicant Issues in excess of ten (10) will
	commit to a prescriptive form of a bidding agreement. However, the	not be considered by the Contracting Authority.
	Consortium Members could be in a position to submit a different form	



S/N	QUERY/ CLARIFICATION	CONTRACTING AUTHORITY'S RESPONSE
1	RFQ CLARIFICATION	S/PROJECT TIMELINES
	of an agreement between the members. Contracting Authority (CA) to confirm if a different form of a "consortium agreement" will be acceptable?	
26.	Given the approval processes required by many organizations for a project of this nature and other information relating to the project which are not available at this stage, Consortium Members are not in a position to commit to specific amounts/quantum for the equity contributions. Contracting Authority (CA) to confirm that it is acceptable for the consortium to confirm its commitment to raise the required Equity amount necessary for the project (to reach financial close) in the agreement?	This question has not been considered in view of paragraph 4 of the Request for Applicant Issues which provides that Applicant Issues in excess of ten (10) will not be considered by the Contracting Authority.
27.	Based on experience, obtaining certificates from a statutory auditor regarding specific projects is an unusual request and would take a long time and process to get the Statutory Auditor involved with this, propose that the Contracting Authority (CA) considers other documents to assess the credibility of the claim for the various experiences.	This question has not been considered in view of paragraph 4 of the Request for Applicant Issues which provides that Applicant Issues in excess of ten (10) will not be considered by the Contracting Authority.
28.	Clarification of evaluation of eligible project over a period of 5 years (Clause 6.2.1) or 10 Years, (Clause 22.4).	We are pleased to clarify that for the purposes of demonstrating technical capacity and experience (the "Technical Capacity") for the purposes of the



S/N	QUERY/ CLARIFICATION	CONTRACTING AUTHORITY'S RESPONSE	
	RFQ CLARIFICATIONS/PROJECT TIMELINES		
		eligible projects under Clause 6.2.1.1, 6.2.1.2 and 6.2.1.3, the period under consideration shall be over the past 10 (ten) financial years preceding the Application Deadline	
29.	Clarification of threshold technical capacity (US\$4.4 Billion) to be achieved over a period of 5 or 10 years;	 Threshold Technical Capacity is demonstrated where: i) the sum of the eligible projects in Category 1 and 2 are above US\$4.4 billion in the ten (10) year period preceding the Application deadline, and ii) half of the Threshold Technical Capacity (US\$2.2billion) is from eligible projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 22.1 of the RfQ. Also, the provisions under Clause 22.3 of the RfQ apply to the eligible projects in Category 1 and 2. 	
30.	Clarification on equity share of consortium members.	 For the purposes of satisfying Clause 6.2.2 (Financial Capacity) of the RfQ, an Applicant or a consortium (in that case its members) whose experiences shall be considered for the purposes of satisfying the requirements of Clause 6.2.1 of the RfQ, shall: a) Have a 'minimum' Net worth of US\$500M in the case of an Applicant or Consortium at the close of the preceding Financial Year. b) Have at least an equity share of at least 20% (twenty percent) each for members of a consortium in the special purpose vehicle; save for the O&M 	



S/N	QUERY/ CLARIFICATION	Contracting Authority's Response
	RFQ CLARIFICATIONS	/PROJECT TIMELINES
		and Tolling partners (in a consortium), who are required to have a minimum
		of 10% shareholding each in the SPV.
31.	In the Bid Stage, is it possible for us to bring other Parties to share	Where the Applicant is a Consortium, members of the Consortium are
	capital of the SPV?	expected to enter into a Joint Bidding Agreement for the purpose of making
		an Application. The Joint Bidding Agreement also includes an undertaking to
		form an SPV which would execute the Concession Agreement in the event
		that the Consortium emerges as the Preferred Bidder. The Applicant is
		therefore permitted to invite other entities as Consortium members (and
		ultimately shareholders in the SPV) provided that the such entities are able
		to demonstrate the requisite capacity as either a (i) Technical Member; (ii)
		Financial Member; (iii) Operations & Maintenance Member; (iv) Tolling
		Member; or (v) Lead Member of the Consortium.
32.	We apply for extension of time of the application deadline for 60 days	An Extension of Time has already been granted and the Application Deadline
	from the application deadline.	date of 20 th March 2020 has been moved to a new Application Deadline of
		15 th April 2020.
33.	Are there any restrictions for any bidder who has never been to Nigeria	There are no restrictions in this regard.
	before?	



S/N	QUERY/ CLARIFICATION	Contracting Authority's Response
	Road and Br	IDGE DESIGN
1.	At what point will the design and BEME be made available to the	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the
	bidders?	Selection/Bidding Process.
2.	Is the Contracting Authority providing the applicant 38 kilometres of	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the
	free unencumbered land to build the 120 kilometres highway?	Selection/Bidding Process.
3.	Regarding the 'development of adjacent real estate'- what is the	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the
	available land space in terms of sqm or sq ft at the Ikorodu section of	Selection/Bidding Process.
	the road?	
4.	Will the land at the Ikorodu section need to be reclaimed?	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the
		Selection/Bidding Process.
5.	Has the route alignment for the project being finalized and is this	The route alignment has been finalized and details will be provided at RfP
	definitive?	stage of the Selection/Bidding Process.
6.	Has the right of way already been fixed and secured based on the	We confirm that the right of way has been fixed. A detailed response to this
	alignment and design;	query will be provided at the RfP stage of the Selection/Bidding Process.
7.	Has LASG undertaken the valuation of affected properties along the right	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the
	of way?	Selection/Bidding Process.



S/N	QUERY/ CLARIFICATION	Contracting Authority's Response	
	ROAD AND BRIDGE DESIGN		
8.	Have the plot and property owners along the right of way already been	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the	
	compensated or will the cost of the compensation be part of the overall	Selection/Bidding Process.	
	project cost.		
9.	Are the areas for the development of the Adjacent Real Estate?	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the	
		Selection/Bidding Process.	

s/N	QUERY/ CLARIFICATION	CONTRACTING AUTHORITY'S RESPONSE	
	Studies and Surveys		
1.	What is the measure of business analysis for the project?	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the	
		Selection/Bidding Process.	
2.	Is there a business viability report?	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the	
		Selection/Bidding Process.	
3.	Is there any traffic study analysis?	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the	
		Selection/Bidding Process.	
4.	Will this be provided to bidders?	The requisite studies, reports and analysis of the Project will be provided to	
		Bidders at the RfP stage of the Selection/Bidding Process.	
5.	Do bidders have to prepare a business analysis for the project?	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the	
		Selection/Bidding Process.	



s/n	QUERY/ CLARIFICATION	CONTRACTING AUTHORITY'S RESPONSE
	Studies at	ND SURVEYS
6.	What is the assumed average daily traffic for the 4th mainland bridge	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the
	road?	Selection/Bidding Process.
7.	Clarification on the various studies and surveys already carried out in	The requisite clarification on the studies, reports and analysis of the Project
	relation to the Project;	will be provided to Bidders at the RfP stage of the Selection/Bidding Process.
8.	Have the topographic survey and route soil investigation already been	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the
	concluded?	Selection/Bidding Process.
9.	Has the necessary comprehensive traffic study including traffic counts	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the
	already been undertaken? Or will it be part of the preferred bidder	Selection/Bidding Process.
	consortium responsibilities including its financing?	
10.	Contracting Authority to provide some indicative assessment of the	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the
	traffic forecast and the expected tolling to be charged on the bridge.	Selection/Bidding Process.
11.	Has the required Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) already been	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the
	undertaken or will it be part of the responsibilities of the preferred	Selection/Bidding Process.
	bidder consortium including its financing?	
12.	What are the expected consents, permits, and approvals required to	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the
	obtain for the project and which of these is the responsibility of the	Selection/Bidding Process.
	concessionaire?	
13.	Please provide the Traffic analysis.	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the
		Selection/Bidding Process.



s/n	QUERY/ CLARIFICATION	CONTRACTING AUTHORITY'S RESPONSE	
	Studies and Surveys		
14.	Please provide the traffic or other bridges from the last 10 years;	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the	
		Selection/Bidding Process.	
15.	Is there any feasibility study for the Project? Please provide.	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the	
		Selection/Bidding Process.	



S/N	QUERY/ CLARIFICATION	CONTRACTING AUTHORITY'S RESPONSE	
	Concession And Financing		
1.	What is the role of LASG in the project? Will LASG inject equity in the	LASG is the sponsoring Authority for the project. The details of LASG's	
	project?	participation will be provided at RfP stage.	
2.	Is there an MRG (Minimum Revenue Guarantee) for the project	This question is not required to be addressed at RfQ stage. Details will be	
	revenue or similar type of guarantee to ensure the revenue of the	provided at RfP stage of the Selection/Bidding Process.	
	investor?		
3.	Is there government guarantee to the investor?	This question is not required to be addressed at RfQ stage. Details will be	
		provided at RfP stage of the Selection/Bidding Process.	
4.	What is the proposed concession period?	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the	
		Selection/Bidding Process.	
5.	What is the structure of government's involvement in the Project?	The nature, extent, terms and conditions of the LASG's involvement will be	
		disclosed to Bidders at the RfP stage of the Selection/Bidding Process.	
6.	What security will be provided for the project?	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the	
		Selection/Bidding Process.	
7.	What project documentation will be used?	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the	
		Selection/Bidding Process.	
8.	Please confirm the concession period for investors to recoup the	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the	
	estimated projected cost of \$2.25 billion.	Selection/Bidding Process.	



S/N	QUERY/ CLARIFICATION	CONTRACTING AUTHORITY'S RESPONSE
	Concession A	ND FINANCING
9.	What would be an indicative average daily toll fee for the 4th mainland	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the
	bridge?	Selection/Bidding Process.
10.	Once project completed and road successfully operated - are there any	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the
	conditions/clauses that could trigger an event for the Contracting party	Selection/Bidding Process.
	to take over the concession? If so, what are these clauses that could	
	allow the Contracting party to take over (buy back) the concession from	
	the applicant?	
11.	What is the expected duration of the concession?	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the
		Selection/Bidding Process.
12.	Contracting Authority to provide information on expected revenue	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the
	streams (other than tolling revenue);	Selection/Bidding Process.
13.	Contracting Authority to indicate the extent of LASG support to	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the
	potential bidders e.g. credit support (sovereign guarantee), traffic	Selection/Bidding Process.
	guarantee, tax incentives, tax holiday; government grant, import	
14.	Is the Lagos State Government providing guarantee to the investor?	The nature, extent, terms and conditions of the LASG's involvement will be
		disclosed to Bidders at the RfP stage of the Selection/Bidding Process.
15.	Is the any guarantee for currency exchange?	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the
		Selection/Bidding Process.



S/N	QUERY/ CLARIFICATION	Contracting Authority's Response
	Concession A	ND FINANCING
16.	Is there any insurance for currency exchange?	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the
		Selection/Bidding Process.
17.	If yes, is the cost of the insurance going to be borne by Lagos State	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the
	Government?	Selection/Bidding Process.
18.	How long is the concession period?	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the
		Selection/Bidding Process.
19.	What is Lagos State Government's contribution?	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the
		Selection/Bidding Process.
20.	During the period of Award Concession Contract, whether the Lagos	A detailed response to this query will be provided at RfP stage of the
	State Government will share capital of the SPV by the way of providing	Selection/Bidding Process.
	the land, etc.	



S/N	QUERY/ CLARIFICATION	Contracting Authority's Response
ESTIMATED PROJECT COST/GENERAL		
1.	Can you please give some details on how the current Lekki to VI toll	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the
	road works? What's the model, structure, tariff, duration for	Selection/Bidding Process.
	concession, etc.?	
2.	Is the estimated project cost based on a design drawing and BEME for	Yes. However, a detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP
	the project?	stage of the Selection/Bidding Process.
3.	Clarification on the proportion between the bridge and the buildings in	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the
	the whole project, the area and requirement for the land to be	Selection/Bidding Process.
	developed.	



S/N	QUERY/ CLARIFICATION	Contracting Authority's Response	
	ESTIMATED PROJECT COST/GENERAL		
4.	Does the estimated project cost of US\$2.25 Billion reflect the	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the	
	construction cost of the project (bridge and approach roads) or which	Selection/Bidding Process.	
	other cost is included in the estimate?		
5.	Project cost is \$2,250,000,000. Can we have access to the Bill?	A detailed response to this query will be provided at the RfP stage of the	
		Selection/Bidding Process.	